

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

DILLON SAJUDIN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STROUDSBURG AREA SCHOOL  
DISTRICT, et al.,

Defendants.

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

CIVIL ACTION

No. 21-cv-01743-RAL

**ORDER**

AND NOW this 23rd day of March, 2022, upon consideration of the Defendants' motion for reconsideration<sup>1</sup> (Doc. No. 23), it is **ORDERED** that the motion is **DENIED**.

**BY THE COURT:**

*s/Richard A. Lloret*  
**RICHARD A. LLORET**  
**U.S. Magistrate Judge**

---

<sup>1</sup> Defendants filed their motion as a "written statement of objections pursuant to Local Rule 72.1 (IV)(a). Doc. No. 23. However, the cited rule only applies when a case is referred by a district judge to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Here, the parties consented to my jurisdiction over the entirety of the case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). *See* Doc. No. 10, 15. In the interest of fairness to the parties, I will nevertheless construe this motion as a motion for reconsideration pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e)(1) and Local Civil Rule 7.1(g).